UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ſ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/783,610	02/19/2004	Vladek Kasperchik	10004809-1	1622	
		7590 03/28/200 .CKARD COMPANY	7	EXAMINER		
		2400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD		SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
	INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1774		
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	3 MO	NTHS	03/28/2007	PAPER		

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				/		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
		10/783,610	KASPERCHIK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Betelhem Shewareged	1774			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u>	nnuary 2007.				
		action is non-final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-49 is/are pending in the app	olication.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-35</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-14 and 36-49</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	·				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *				
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's response filed on 01/08/2007 has been fully considered. Claim 1 is amended, claim 6 is canceled, claim 49 are added, and claims 1-5 and 7-49 are pending. (NOTE: Claims 15-35 are withdrawn from consideration as non-elected invention).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 36-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the specification for image free metallic layer.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant directed Examiner's attention to Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, along with page 7, lines 1-20, and claims 1-13 as originally filed to show the support for the image free metallic layer. However, above parts of the application do not show support for **image** free metallic layer, but the support is good enough for the metallic layer. Claims 36-48 stand rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 7-14 and 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Otaki et al. (US 6,849,149 B2) in view of Coates (US 4,893,887).
- 6. Otaki discloses a laminate comprising a transparent protective layer, a hologram, transparent adhesive, recorded information, and a transparent film, in the order thereof (Fig. 10 and col. 26, line 47 thru col. 37, line 63). The transparent protective layer 206 is equivalent to the claimed protective layer, hologram 201 is equivalent to the claimed metallic layer, the transparent adhesive 205b is equivalent to the claimed adhesive layer, the transparent film 203 and the information 202 are equivalent to the claimed printable layer. The hologram further comprises colorant (col. 34, line 4), has a thickness of 0.1-50 um (col. 32, line 36). The transparent film can be made of polyethylene terephthalate or polyethylene (col. 34, line 30 and col. 32, line 46). In order to improve the writing quality, a writing layer formed by coating a coating composition with fine particles, such as silica, being incorporated therein is provided on the transparent film (col. 34, lines 53-57). The writing layer is equivalent to the claimed ink receiving layer. The transparent protective film is made of acrylic (col. 32, line 46), and has a thickness of 10-100 um (col. 32, line 43). Otaki does not teach a metal

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610 Page 4

Art Unit: 1774

hologram. However, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a metal layer as the hologram because such use is notoriously known in the hologram transfer art (see col. 1, line 45 thru col. 2, line 51 of Coates).

# Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's argument is based on that claim 1 has been amended to require that the printable layer include an ink-receiving layer, and such limitation is not found in the cited references. This argument is not persuasive because Otaki incorporates a writing layer on the transparent film 203 to improve the writing quality (col. 34, lines 53-57).
- 8. With respect to Applicant's argument regarding claim 14, the reference of Coates is combined with Otaki to teach the use of metallic hologram layer is known, not to teach the thickness of the hologram layer.
- 9. For the above reasons, claims 1-5 and 7-14 stand rejected, and claim 49 is included in the rejection.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1774

11. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 5

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,610

Art Unit: 1774

Page 6

14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BS

March 23, 2007.

BETELHEM SHEVAREGED